

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			,	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/633,001	08/01/2003	Walter Harvey Waddell	2003B079	8961
23455 FXXONMOR	7590 08/28/2007 IL CHEMICAL COMPANY	,	EXAM	INER
5200 BAYWA	AY DRIVE		RONESI, VICKEY M	
P.O. BOX 214 BAYTOWN,	TX 77522-2149		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1714	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/633,001	WADDELL ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Vickey Ronesi	1714	٩
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF	PLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS	
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions for perions of the state of	DATE OF THIS COMMUI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may od will apply and will expire SIX (6) M tute, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17	' August 2007.		•
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ TI	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	•	•	
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-4,7-14,16-25,27-31,33-35,38-43,	47-49,51 and 53-87 is/are	pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			•
6) Claim(s) <u>1-4,7-14,16-25,27-31,33-35,38-43,</u>	<u>47-49,51 and 53-87</u> is/are	rejected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected	o by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abey	rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received ir	Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pro-	riority documents have be	en received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bure			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ist of the certified copies n	ot received.	
Attachment(s)	_		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		of Informal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/633,001 Page 2

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/17/2007 has been entered.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With respect to claim 12, the term "the copolymer" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claims 1-4, 6-14, 16-25, 27-31, 33-35, 38-43, 47-49, 51, and 53-87 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dias et al (WO 02/48257 A2, cited on IDS dated 12/15/2003).

Application/Control Number: 10/633,001 Page 3

Art Unit: 1714

With respect to claims 1-4, 6-14, 16-25, 27-31, 33-35, 38-43, 47-49, 51, and 53-83, the rejection is adequately set forth in paragraph 5 of Office mailed 3/29/2006 and is incorporated here by reference.

With respect to new claims 84-87, the rejection for the claims above covers the material in these new claims.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 8/17/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Specifically, applicant argues that applicant's data is reasonably commensurate in scope with the scope of the claims.

In response, the examiner has considered the 3 types of carbon black used in the inventive data in the specification as originally filed and maintains that these carbon blacks are not commensurate in scope with the claimed carbon black which has DBP < 80 cm³/100 g. In particular, Regal 90 (DBP = 33 cm³/100 g), Regal 90 (DBP = 32 cm³/100 g), and N-990 (DBP = 42 cm³/100g) are exemplified, wherein DBP of 32, 33, and 42 cm³/100 g cannot be used to establish criticality for less than 80 cm³/100 g when compared to N660 (DBP 90 cm³/100 g). The contention that "the exact limit [of DBP] is somewhere between 42 and 90" (page 17) does not alter the examiner's position. Furthermore, the argument that there are not hundreds of commercially available carbon blacks which read on the genus of presently claimed carbon blacks does not persuade the examiner given that the commercial availability of specific carbon blacks does not affect patentability and further given that the scope of the genus is still much too broad to be supported by the exemplified carbon blacks.

Application/Control Number: 10/633,001

Art Unit: 1714

Additionally, the exemplified amounts of carbon black are only as high as 120 phr. Given that the amount of carbon black significantly affects the properties as shown by Tables 5 and 6, applicant cannot establish a statistically commensurate scope of up to 200 phr carbon black (note that this amount of carbon black is not the total amount of all carbon black, rather, it is the amount of carbon black having surface area $< 30 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ and DBP $< 80 \text{ cm}^3/100 \text{ g}$).

Finally, only bromobutyl elastomers are exemplified and cannot establish criticality for presently claimed generic elastomer comprising at least 30 mol % isobutylene.

Case law holds that evidence is insufficient to rebut a *prima facie* case if not commensurate in scope with the claimed invention. *In re Grasselli*, 713 F.2d 731, 741, 218 USPQ 769, 777 (Fed. Cir. 1983). Case law holds that evidence of superior properties in one species insufficient to establish the nonobviousness of a subgenus containing hundreds of compounds. *In re Greenfield*, 571 F.2d 1185, 1189, 197 USPQ 227, 230 (CCPA 1978).

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vickey Ronesi whose telephone number is (571) 272-2701. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Art Unit: 1714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

8/22/2007 Vickey Ronesi

W

/Vasu Jagannathan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700